New dimers from the decomposition of α -lithio-N-nitrosamines. Evidence for NO[°] elimination.

Pelayo Camps*, Jesús Maldonado, David Mauleón, Cristina Minguillón, and María Dolores Pujol

Laboratorio de Química Farmacéutica, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Barcelona. Av. Diagonal, s/n, 08028 Barcelona (Spain)

SUMMARY. Reaction of N-nitroso-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (1) with LDA in THF at temperatures below -80 °C followed by reaction with methyl iodide or quenching with water gave, among other products, 1'-methyl-1-nitroso-1,1',2,2',3,3',4,4'-octahydro-2,2'-biquinoline (3) and its 1'-demethyl derivative (4), respectively, through the possible intermediacy of 3,4-dihydroquinoline (2).

The α -metallation of activated derivatives of secondary amines is a matter of active synthetic interest.¹ The synthetic application of α -lithio-N-nitroso-N,N-dialkylamines is well established.^{1c,d} These α -metalloamines should be generated and manipulated at very low temperatures (below -80°C) since they tend to dimerize to 1,4,5,6-tetrahydrotetrazine 2-oxide derivatives.^{1d} Although the reaction of N-nitrosamines with bases such as sodium hydride² or potassium amide³ is known to give imines through HNO elimination, no unequivocal evidence of such a process has been obtained so far in the α -lithiation of N-nitroso-N,N-dialkylamines.^{1d} Transnitrosation reactions have been observed in the α -lithiation of N-nitroso-N-alkylanilines. Moreover, from α -lithio-N-nitroso-N-methylaniline, N,N'-dinitroso-N,N'-diphenylethylenediamine and N,N'-diphenylethylenediamine were isolated. Seebach and Enders^{1d} considered that these compounds, like the tetrazine-N-oxide dimers, are formed by C-C linkage between the formerly anionic C-atoms of the lithionitrosamines, pointing out that the dinitroso-derivative is a dimer minus H₂ of the original nitrosamine.

In connection with an improved synthesis of 3,3a,4,5,-tetrahydropyrazolo[2,3-a]quinoline-2-amine,⁴ a potential antiinflammatory agent, we studied the reaction of 2-lithio-1-nitroso-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline with alkylating agents. Reaction of 1 with LDA in anhydrous THF at -96°C followed by reaction with methyl iodide gave, after standard work-up and column chromatography (silica gel, hexane-ethyl acetate as eluent), a compound whose elemental analysis was concordant for C19H21N3O, that was characterized⁵ as 1'-methyl-1-nitroso-1,1',2,2',3,3',4,4'-octahydro-2,2'-biquinoline (3) (26% yield), as the only defined reaction product. In the same way, after quenching the solution of 2-lithio-1 with water, we obtained a solid compound that was characterized⁵ as 1-nitroso-1,1',2,2',3,3',4,4'-octahydro-2,2'-biquinoline (4) (13% isolated yield).⁶ Compounds 3 and 4 have two chiral centers, and two racemic pairs are possible in each case. However, the isolated compounds correspond to only one racemic mixture. Since 3 must be formed by methylation of the lithium salt of 4, it seems reasonable that both compounds have the same relative configuration. Hydrolysis of compound 4 (HC1 / benzene)⁷ gave the symmetric 1,1',2,2',3,3',4,4'-octahydro-2,2'-biquinoline (5),⁸ which seems to be the *meso*-stereoisomer.⁹ Thus, compounds 3 and 4 must be the *erythro* racemic pairs. Compound 5 has been identified also as a by-product formed from the lithio derivative of 1 on quenching with water.

It is worthy of note from their ¹H NMR spectra, the high value of the coupling constant $J_{H2,H2'}$ in 3 (9.2 Hz) and 4 (9.0 Hz) showing the preferred conformation around the C2-C2' bond to be one in which the hydrogen atoms are in an *anti* arrangement. The fact that both coupling constants $J_{H2,H3\alpha}$ and $J_{H2,H3\beta}$ in 3 and 4 are small is indicative of an "envelope-like" conformation with *axial* 2-substituent for their *N*-nitroso-heterocyclic moiety.^{1d} A similar situation is found for the *N*-methyl-heterocyclic part of 3. However, in the case of 4, the N-H ring, free of steric interactions between substituents at the 1' and 2' positions, seems to exist preferentially in a conformation with equatorial 2'-substituent. One of the $J_{H2',H3'}$ values (9.0 Hz) must be associated to an *anti* arrangement for the corresponding protons.



The formation of compounds 3 and 4 in these reactions can be easily explained as shown in Scheme 1, by NO⁻ elimination from the α -lithio-1, with formation of 3,4-dihydroquinoline (2), which on reaction with lithiated 1 will give the N-lithio-4, from which 3 and 4 derive. The formation of the dimers obtained by Seebach and Enders¹d from α -lithio-N-nitroso-N-methylaniline can be similarly explained. All these observations constitute evidence for the decomposition of the lithio-derivatives of N-nitroso-N-alkylanilines via NO⁻ elimination.

Acknowledgements. We gratefully thank Dr. J. Veciana from "Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo", C.S.I.C., Barcelona (Spain), for chiral HPLC analysis of compound 5, and Dr. C. Celma and Dr. M. Feliz from the University of Barcelona for running the MS and NMR spectra, respectively.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. (a) P. Beak, W. J. Zajdel and D. B. Reitz. Chem. Rev. 84, 471 (1984). (b) P. Beak and D. B. Reitz. Chem. Rev. 78, 275 (1978). (c) D. Seebach. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 18, 239 (1979). (d) D. Seebach and D. Enders. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 14, 15 (1975). (e) P. Beak and W.-K. Lee. Tetrahedron Lett. 30, 1197 (1989). (f) A. R. Katritzky and S. Sengupta. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 17 (1989).

2. J. E. Baldwin, D. H. R. Barton, N. J. A. Gutteridge and R. J. Martin. J. Chem. Soc. (C), 2184 (1971).

3. H. U. Daeniker. Helv. Chim. Acta. 47, 33 (1964).

4. (a) S. M. Bloom, U.S. Patent 4,040,832 (1977). (b) S. M. Bloom, U.S. Patent 4,067,872 (1978).

5. Significative physical and spectroscopic data of compounds 3, 4 and 5. Assignment of the ¹H NMR spectra of 3 and 4 were carried out on the basis of the chemical shifts and coupling constants obtained with the aid of double-resonance and phase-sensitive homocorrelation experiments. The ¹³C NMR spectra of 3 and 4 were assigned taking into account their chemical shift, type of carbon atom (DEPT) and, in the case of 3, by one bond and long range two-dimensional ¹H,¹³C heterocorrelation experiments.

Compound 3: mp 143-145°C (anh. acetone); IR (CHCl₃), n 3400 (N-H st) and 1450 (N=O st) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃), d 1.6-2.1 (m, 4H, 3-H₂ and 3'-H₂), 2.6 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.7-3.1 (m, 4H, 4-H₂ and 4'-H₂), 3.53 (dt, J = 9.2 Hz, J' = 4.0 Hz, 1H, 2'-H), 5.52 (dt, J = 9.2 Hz, J' = 4.6 Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.40 (dd, J = 7.8 Hz, J' = 1.2 Hz, 1H, 8'-H), 6.63 (dt, J = 1.2 Hz, J' = 7.2 Hz, 1H, 6'-H), 7.00 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, J' = 1.6 Hz, 1H, 5'-H), 7.08 (dt, J = 1.6 Hz, J' = 7.2 Hz, 1H, 7'-H), 7.2-7.4 (m, 3H, 5-H, 6-H, and 7-H), 7.93 (dm, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, 8-H); ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃), d 22.5 (C-3 or C-3'), 22.9 (C-3' or C-3), 23.2 (C-4 or C-4'), 23.6 (C-4' or C-4), 39.2 (CH₃), 48.6 (C-2), 57.6 (C-2'), 111.3 (C-8'), 116.1 (C-6'), 118.0 (C-8), 120.5 (C-4a'), 126.1 (C-4a), 126.5 (C-6), 127.3 (C-7'), 127.7 (C-5 or C-7), 128.6 (C-5'), 129.4 (C-7 or C-5), 137.4 (C-8a), 144.6 (C-8a'); MS (chemical ionization, NH₃), m/z 308 (M⁺ + 1, 100%), 278 (M⁺ + 1 - NO, 49%).

Compound 4: mp 158-161°C (anh. acetone); IR (KBr), n 1460 (N=O st) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃), d 1.6-2.3 (m, 4H, 3-H₂ and 3'-H₂), 2.7-3.1 (m, 5H, 4-H₂, 4'-H₂, and NH), 3.42 (dt, J = 3.2 Hz, J' = 9.0 Hz, 1H, 2'-H), 5.40 (ddd, J = 3.8 Hz, J' = 4.8 Hz, J'' = 9.0 Hz, 1H, 2'-H), 6.37 (dd, J = 7.9 Hz, J' = 1.1 Hz, 1H, 8'-H), 6.60 (dt, J = 1.1 Hz, J' = 7.4 Hz, 1H, 6'-H), 6.9-7.0 (m, 2H, 5'-H and 7'-H), 7.2-7.4 (m, 3H, 5-H, 6-H, and 7-H), 7.97 (dm, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, 8-H); ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃), d 22.4 (C-3 or C-3'), 23.1 (C-4 or C-4'), 24.3 (C-3' or C-3), 25.6 (C-4' or C-4), 50.9 (C-2'), 51.1 (C-2), 114.7 (C-8'), 117.5 (C-6'), 118.1 (C-8), 120.5 (C-4a'), 126.1 (C-4a), 126.8 (C-6 and C-7'), 127.6 (C-5 or C-7), 128.9 (C-5'), 129.5 (C-7 or C-5), 136.8 (C-8a), 143.5 (C-8a'); MS (electron impact), m/z 293 (M⁺, 1%), 132 (C9H₁₀N⁺, 100%).

Compound 5: mp 112-114°C (methanol); IR (CHCl₃), n 3425 (N-H st) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃), d 1.7-2.1 (m, 4H, 3-H₂ and 3'-H₂), 2.77 (m, 4H, 4-H₂ and 4'-H₂), 3.27 (m, 2H, 2-H and 2'-H), 3.6 (ba, 2H, 2NH), 6.5-6.6 (m, 4H, 6-H, 6'-H, 8-H, and 8'-H), 6.9-7.1 (m, 4H, 5-H, 5'-H, 7-H, and 7'-H); ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃), d 23.9 and 25.6 [C-3(3') and C-4(4')], 54.6 [C-2(2')], 114.8 [C-8(8')], 117.5 [C-6(6')], 121.7 [C-4a(4a')], 127.0 [C-7(7')], 129.3 [C-5(5')], 144.2 [C-8a(8a')]; MS (electron impact), m/z 264 (M⁺, 3.2%), 133 (C9H₁₁N⁺, 49%), 132 (C9H₁₀N⁺, 100%), 130 (C9H₈N⁺, 44%).

6. Compound 4 seems to be the major reaction product. However, it is difficult to isolate in pure state since it decomposes on standing.

7. R. R. Fraser and S. Passannanti. Synthesis, 540 (1976).

8. A synthesis of compound 5 by Zn/Ac_2O reduction of quinoline followed by hydrogenation and hydrolysis, has been claimed.¹⁰ However, in this publication, no NMR data are presented and the stereoisomeric composition of the product is not established. In our hands, this process gave a complex mixture of reduction products, and thus, no comparison is possible.

9. Spectroscopic (IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR, and CG/MS) and HPLC (methanol, acetonitrile, or acetonitrile:water/9:1, as eluents; UV detection at different wave-length) analyses of this compound showed to be majorly (> 95%) a single compound not resolved by HPLC using a chiral column [(+)-poly(triphenylmethylmethacrilate), 25x0.46 cm internal diameter, (+)-OT from Daicel Co. (Japan), $\lambda = 254$ nm, methanol as eluent].

10. A. K. Sheinkman, V. N. Kalafat, V. A. Ivanov and N. A. Klynev. *Khim. Geterotsikl.* Soedin. 385 (1977).

(Received in UK 30 March 1990)